Introduced by: Audrey Gruger Proposed No. 83-8

ļ

motion no. $\underline{5657}$

A MOTION relating to the Housing and

Community Development Block Grant

Services formula.

2

3

5

•

7

8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

WHEREAS, King County is a member of the Community Development Block Grant Consortium, and

Program ranking 1983 King County Public Service projects and requesting the Joint Policy Committee to amend its Public

WHEREAS, the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 as amended limits the amount of funds allocated to public service projects to ten percent of the Consortium's grant amount, and

WHEREAS, King County has approved Community Development Block Grant funding of public service projects for 1983, and

WHEREAS, the King County Council adopted the 1983 King County

Community Development Block Grant Consortium Policy Plan by

Motion 5473, and

WHEREAS, the <u>Policy Plan</u> authorizes the Joint Policy Committee to approve all public service projects in order to meet the Federal limit on public services, subject to final Council approval of the Block Grant application, and

WHEREAS, the Joint Policy Committee has adopted an allocation process and formula for public service projects that requests jurisdictions to rank their public service projects, and

WHEREAS, the formula adopted by the Joint Policy Committee does not appear to recognize the County's role as the major public provider of human services in King County;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

1. The King County Council hereby requests the Joint Policy Committee to amend its formula for the allocation of public services money, so that King County will receive at least 10% of

the Population and Needs moneys available to the unincorporated areas of the County. 1983 King County public service projects are ranked in the following priority order: Health Clinics C83680 Park Lake Housing Emergency Services C83675 C83212 Meeting Communicative Needs of the Elderly C83416 Adult General Dentistry C83496 Asian Newcomers Employment Program C83441 Auburn South County Community Clinic PASSED this 24th day of January, 1983 KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON ATTEST: the Council

Allocation Process for Public Services Funding in Unincorporated King County and the Consortium Cities

According to HUD regulations, only ten percent of the King County Block Grant Consortium's grant amount may be allocated to public services projects. Providing that the Consortium receives the same grant amount for 1983 as for 1982 (\$5,642,000), then \$564,200 will be the limit for public services spending for the unincorporated areas of the County and the Consortium cities.

The Joint Policy Committee has adopted the following Public Services Allocation Process including five Public Services Considerations in order to ensure that public services projects regardless of whether they use Needs or Population funds will remain below the Federal ten percent limit. The allocation process including the Considerations will be used only if the demand for public services funds exceeds the ten percent limit.

- 1. For program year 1983, the allocation of public services funds in unincorporated areas of King County will be maintained at eight percent of the total Pop and Needs funds available to the unincorporated areas of the County. The eight percent ceiling represents the average amount spent by the County on public services in the unincorporated areas over the last three years, taking into consideration different entitlement amounts. If public services requests exceed the eight percent limit, King County will apply the Public Services Considerations below to review and select County public services proposals. The cities and towns will receive the balance of funds available for public services, after the County has allocated its share during the November budget process.
- 2. The following is a proposed allocation process to be used by the JPC in January for the review and funding of public services in cities and towns. This approach would be used only if the demand for funds exceeds the Federal ten percent limit.
 - a. First, priority will be given to funding public services projects in cities and towns which are not able to fund eligible physical development or improvement projects that benefit low to moderate income persons with their Pop funds.
 - b. Next, the JPC will make every effort to fund at least one public services request from each of the remaining cities and towns. In order to do this, the cities and towns will be required to rank their public services proposals. The JPC will try to fund all first priorities.
 - c. When the balance of funds is not enough to fund first or second ranked public services proposals, the following considerations will be applied to determine which of the remaining proposals will be funded.

1983 Public Services Considerations

Each proposal receives one point for each consideration met if the proposed project is:

- 1. A public services project whose services benefit 51% <u>low income</u> <u>people</u>. Low income is defined as <u>50%</u> of the King County median income. (For example, the income for a family of four would be \$15,600 according to 1982 information.)
- 2. A public services project where 25% or more of the project cost is funded with non-Block Grant funds.
- 3. A continuing public services project that is proposed at a 10% reduced Block Grant funding level from 1982.
- 4. A public services project that provides direct delivery of critical services, including but not limited to health clinics, food banks and nutrition programs.
- 5. A public services project that is related to an existing facility funded in whole or in part by Block Grant funds.

tal1/7